FOIAb3b

4. 47,923

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

NOV 9 1965

Wants Curb on Power of CIA

Addoc, Gazette:

and CIA" (Oct. 27), has come to my attention. I thought it sources? Yes, why?

Lety good, and, I might add, As it stands, the CIA seems quite courageous in view of the responsible to no one. It oper ever more strenuous efforts of ates arbitrarily, capriciously certain powerful elements in and in great secrecy; it under our society to stifle dissent and writes extremely danger-lader opposition to certain of our cold-provocative actions. And in so war policies and foreign ven-doing it makes foreign policy opposition to certain of our coldtures. The theme which you, of to no small degree; it commita necessity, touched lightly upon, needs expounding upon. I was especially pleased with times. Quite conceivably, your making (in your closing could plunge the world into paragraph) the most salient great, unwanted war. (Nation paragraph) the most salient great, unwanted war. (Nation point to be made in all this busi-inflaming "incidents" are so ness: ". . . Why continue to easily arranged.) allow the CIA to cause trouble For the good for our government?" Why, indeed! Why should the CIA be allowed to foment strife and discord in foreign countries? To topple duly-constituted governments? To place, and/or maintain in power cruel, repressive regimes? To meddle in the affairs of other governments? All good questions! Why (as you aiso ask) isn't the CIA restrict-

ed to the original intentions in detailedly, your editorial, "FBI the formation of the agency i.e., the gathering and evaluat

> our gevernment to certain pre carious courses of action,

> For the good of our nation for the sake of the rest of the world, the CIA should be thoroughly investigated — and curbed. It's high time some highly placed, responsible peo ple started riding herd on this obstreperous, government-unto

> > THOMAS BRODERICK, 1730 Watt Street, Schenectady, 12304